arXiv:0805.0323v1 [math.DG] 2 May 2008

ON SUBMANIFOLDS WITH TAMED SECOND FUNDAMENTAL FORM

G. PACELLI BESSA AND M. SILVANA COSTA

Abstract. Based on the ideas of Bessa-Jorge-Montenegro [4] we show that a complete submanifold M with tamed second fundamental form in a complete Riemannian manifold N with sectional curvature $K_N \leq \kappa \leq 0$ are proper, (compact if N is compact). In addition, if N is Hadamard then M has finite topology. We also show that the fundamental tone is an obstruction for a Riemannian manifold to be realized as submanifold with tamed second fundamental form of a Hadamard manifold with sectional curvature bounded below.

1. Introduction

Let $\varphi : M \hookrightarrow N$ be an isometric immersion of a complete Riemannian m-manifold M into a complete Riemannian n-manifold N with sectional curvature $K_N \leq \kappa \leq 0$. Fix a point $x_0 \in M$ and let $\rho_M(x) = \mathrm{dist}_M(x_0, x)$ be the distance function on M to x_0. Let $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an exhaustion sequence of M by compacts sets with $x_0 \in C_0$. Let $\{a_i\} \subset [0,\infty]$ be a non-increasing sequence of possibly extend numbers defined by

$$a_i = \sup\left\{\frac{S_\kappa}{C_\kappa}(\rho_M(x)) \cdot \|\alpha(x)\|,\, x \in M \backslash C_i\right\}.$$

Where

eqSk

$$S_\kappa(t) = \left\{\begin{array}{lll} \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{-\kappa}}\sinh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\,t), & if & \kappa < 0 \\ t, & if & \kappa = 0 \end{array}\right. \tag{1}$$

$C_\kappa(t) = S'_\kappa(t)$ and $\|\alpha(x)\|$ is the norm of the second fundamental form at $\varphi(x)$. The number $a(M) = \lim\limits_{i\to\infty} a_i$ is independent of the exhaustion sequence $\{C_i\}$ nor on the base point x_0.

Definition 1.1. *An immersion $\varphi : M \hookrightarrow N$ of a complete Riemannian m-manifold M into an n-manifold N with sectional curvature $K_N \leq \kappa \leq 0$ has tamed second fundamental form if $a(M) < 1$.*

In [4], Bessa, Jorge and Montenegro showed that a complete submanifold $\varphi : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with tamed second fundamental form is proper and has finite topology, where finite topology means that M is C^∞- diffeomorphic to a compact smooth manifold $\overline{M}$ with boundary. In this paper we show that Bessa-Jorge-Montenegro ideas can be adapted to show that a complete submanifold $M \hookrightarrow N$ with tamed second fundamental form is proper. In addition if N is a Hadamard manifold then M has finite topology. We prove the following theorem.

logan

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\varphi : M \hookrightarrow N$ be an isometric immersion of a complete m-manifold M into complete Riemannian n-manifold N with sectional curvature $K_N \leq \kappa \leq 0$. Suppose that M has tamed second fundamental form. Then*

- a. *If N is compact then M is compact.*
- b. *If N is noncompact then φ is proper.*
- c. *If N is a Hadamard manifold then M has finite topology.*

Date: May 6, 2008.

Our second result shows that the fundamental tone $\lambda^*(M)$ can be an obstruction for a Riemannian manifold M to be realized as a submanifold with tamed second fundamental form in a Hadamard manifold with bounded sectional curvature. The fundamental tone of a Riemannian manifold M is given by

$$\lambda^*(M) = \inf\left\{\frac{\int_M |\mathrm{grad} f|^2}{\int_M f^2}, f \in H_0^1(M)\backslash\{0\}\right\}, \tag{2}$$

where $H_0^1(M)$ is the completion of $C_0^\infty(M)$ with respect to the norm $|f|^2 = \int_M f^2 + \int_M |\mathrm{grad} f|^2$. We prove the following theorem.

`farias brito` **Theorem 1.3.** *Let $\varphi : M \hookrightarrow N$ be an isometric immersion of a complete m-manifold M with $a(M) < 1$ into a Hadamard n-manifold N with sectional curvature $\mu \leq K_N \leq 0$. Given c, $a(M) < c < 1$, there exists $l = l(m, c) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and a positive constant $C = C(m, c, \mu)$ such that*

$$\lambda^*(M) \leq C \cdot \lambda^*(\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)) = C \cdot (l-1)^2\mu^2/4, \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)$ is the l-dimensional simply connected space form of sectional curvature μ.

Remark 1.4. *Jorge and Meeks in* [8] *showed that complete m-dimensional submanifolds M of $\mathbb{R}^n$ homeomorphic to a compact Riemannian manifold $\overline{M}$ punctured at finite number of points $\{p_1, \ldots, p_r\}$ and having a well defined normal vector at infinity have $a(M) = 0$. This class of submanifold includes the complete minimal surfaces $M^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with finite total curvature $\int_M |K| < \infty$ studied by Chern-Osserman* [6], [11], *the complete surfaces $M^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with finite total scalar curvature $\int_M |\alpha|^2 dV < \infty$ and nonpositive curvature with respect to every normal direction studied by White* [12] *and the m-dimensional minimal submanifolds $M^m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with finite total scalar curvature $\int_M |\alpha|^m dV < \infty$ studied by Anderson* [1]. *As corollary of Theorem (1.3) we have that $\lambda^*(M) = 0$ for any submanifold M mentioned in this list above.*

Question 1.5. *It is known* [3], [5] *that the fundamental tones of the Nadirashvilli bounded minimal surfaces* [10] *and the Martin-Morales cylindrically bounded minimal surfaces* [9] *are positive. We ask if is there a complete properly immersed (minimal) submanifold of the $\mathbb{R}^n$ with positive fundamental tone $\lambda^* > 0$.*

2. Preliminaries

Let $\varphi : M \hookrightarrow N$ be an isometric immersion, where M e N are complete Riemannian manifolds. Consider a smooth function $g : N \to \mathbb{R}$ and the composition $f = g \circ \varphi : M \to \mathbb{R}$. Identifying X with $d\varphi(X)$ we have at $q \in M$ and for every $X \in T_qM$ that

$$\langle \mathrm{grad} f, X\rangle = df(X) = dg(X) = \langle \mathrm{grad} g, X\rangle.$$

Hence we write

$$\mathrm{grad} g = \mathrm{grad} f + (\mathrm{grad} g)^\perp,$$

where $(\mathrm{grad} g)^\perp$ is perpendicular to T_qM. Let ∇ and $\bar{\nabla}$ be the Riemannian connections on M e N respectively, $\alpha(x)(X, Y)$ and $\mathrm{Hess} f(x)(X, X)$ be respectively the second fundamental form of the immersion φ and the Hessian of f at x with $X, Y \in T_xM$. Using the Gauss equation we have that

`eqBF2`
$$\mathrm{Hess} f(x)(X, Y) = \mathrm{Hess} g(\varphi(x))(X, Y) + \langle \mathrm{grad} g, \alpha(X, Y)\rangle_{\varphi(x)} \tag{4}$$

Taking the trace in (4), with respect to an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, ..., e_m\}$ for T_xM, we have that

`eqBF3`

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta f(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{Hess}f(q)(e_i, e_i)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{Hess}g(\varphi(x))(e_i, e_i) + \langle \mathrm{grad}g, \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha(e_i, e_i)\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We should mention that the formulas (4) and (5) first appeared in [7]. If $g = h \circ \rho_N$, where $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function and ρ_N is the distance function to a fixed point in N, then the equation (4) becomes

`eqHess`

$$\mathrm{Hess}f(x)(X, X) = h''(\rho_N)\langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, X\rangle^2 + h'(\rho_N)[\mathrm{Hess}\rho_N(X, X) + \langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \alpha(X, X)\rangle] \tag{6}$$

Another important tool in this paper the Hessian Comparison Theorem, see [7] or [13].

Theorem 2.1 (Hessian Comparison Thm.)**.** *Let N be a complete Riemannian n-manifold and $y_0, y \in N$. Let $\gamma : [0, \rho_N(y)] \to N$ be a minimizing geodesic joining y_0 and y,where ρ_N is the distance function to y_0 on N. Let K_γ be the sectional curvatures of N along γ. Denote by $\mu = \inf K_\gamma$ and $\kappa = \sup K_\gamma$. Then for all $X \in T_yN$, $X \perp \gamma'(\rho_N(y))$ the Hessian of ρ_N at $y = \gamma(\rho_N(y))$, satisfies*

`eqBF6`

$$\frac{C_\mu}{S_\mu}(\rho_N(y))\|X\|^2 \geq Hess\,\rho_N(y)(X, X) \geq \frac{C_\kappa}{S_\kappa}(\rho_N(y))\|X\|^2 \tag{7}$$

whereas $Hess\rho_N(y)(\gamma', \gamma') = 0$.

Observation 2.2. *If $y \in \mathrm{cut}_N(y_0)$ the inequality (7) has to be understood in the following sense*

$$\frac{C_\mu}{S_\mu}(\rho_N(y))\|X\|^2 \geq \lim_{j\to\infty} Hess\rho_N(y_j)(X_j, X_j) \geq \frac{C_\kappa}{S_\kappa}(\rho_N(y))\|X\|^2.$$

For a sequence $(y_j, X_j) \to (y, X) \in TN$, $y_j \notin \mathrm{cut}_N(y_0)$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

3.1. **Proof of items a. and b.** Since that $a(M) < 1$, we have that for each $a(M) < c < 1$, there is i such that $a_i \in (a(M), c)$. This means that there exists a geodesic ball $B_M(r_0) \subset M$, with $C_i \subset B_M(r_0)$, centered at x_0 with radius $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{S_\kappa}{C_\kappa}(\rho_M(x)) \cdot \|\alpha(x)\| \leq c < 1, \quad for\ \ all\ \ x \in M\backslash B_M(r_0). \tag{8}$$

To fix the notation, let $x_0 \in M$, $y_0 = \varphi(x_0)$ and $\rho_M(x) = \mathrm{dist}_M(x_0, x)$ and $\rho_N(y) = \mathrm{dist}_N(y_0, y)$. Suppose first that $\kappa = 0$. Letting $h(t) = t^2$ we have that $f(x) = \rho_N(\varphi(x))^2$. By equation (6) the Hessian of f at $x \in M$ in the direction X is given by

$$\mathrm{Hess}f(x)(X, X) = 2\,[\rho_N\ \mathrm{Hess}\rho_N(X, X) + \rho_N\ \langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \alpha(X, X)\rangle + \langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, X\rangle^2](y), \tag{9}$$

where $y = \varphi(x)$. By the Hessian Comparison theorem, we have that

$$\mathrm{Hess}\rho_N(y)(X, X) \geq \frac{1}{\rho_N(y)}\|X^\perp\|^2, \tag{10}$$

where $\langle X^{\perp}, \mathrm{grad}\rho_N\rangle = 0$. Therefore for every $x \in M\backslash B_M(r_0)$,

`eqf`

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hess}f(x)(X,X) &= 2[\rho_N\,\mathrm{Hess}\rho_N(X,X) + \langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, X\rangle^2 \\
&+ \rho_N\langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \alpha(X,X)\rangle](y) \\
&\geq 2\,[\rho_N\,\frac{1}{\rho_N}\|X^{\perp}\|^2 + \|X^{\top}\|^2 + \rho_N\langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \alpha(X,X)\rangle](y) \qquad (11)\\
&\geq 2\,[\|X^{\top}\|^2 + \|X^{\perp}\|^2 - \rho_M\,\|\alpha\|\cdot\|X\|^2] \\
&\geq 2(1-c)\|X\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

In the third to the fourth line of (11) we used that $\rho_N(\varphi(x)) \leq \rho_M(x)$. If $\kappa < 0$, we let $h(t) = \cosh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\,t)$ then $f(x) = \cosh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\,\rho_N)(\varphi(x))$. By equation (6) the Hessian of f is given by

`eqcoth`

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hess}f(x)(X,X) &= \big[-\kappa\cosh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\,\rho_N)\langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, X\rangle^2 + \sqrt{-\kappa}\sinh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\,\rho_N)\mathrm{Hess}\rho_N(X,X) \\
&+ \sqrt{-\kappa}\sinh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\,\rho_N)\langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \alpha(X,X)\rangle\big]\,(\varphi(x)). \qquad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

By Hessian Comparison theorem we have that

$$\mathrm{Hess}\rho_N(y)(X,X) \geq \sqrt{-\kappa}\,\frac{\cosh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\rho_N)}{\sinh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\rho_N)}\|X^{\perp}\|^2. \qquad (13)$$

Since $a(M) < 1$ we have then

$$\|\alpha(x)\| \leq c\,\sqrt{-\kappa}\frac{\cosh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\rho_M)}{\sinh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\rho_M)}(x) \leq c\,\sqrt{-\kappa}\frac{\cosh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\rho_N)}{\sinh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\rho_N}(\varphi(x)) \qquad (14)$$

for every $x \in M\backslash B_M(r_0)$ and some $c \in (0,1)$. The last inequality follows from the fact that $\rho_N(\varphi(x)) \leq \rho_M(x)$ and that the function $\sqrt{-\kappa}\coth(\sqrt{-\kappa}\,t)$ non-increasing. Substituting in the equation (12), we obtain

`eqf2`

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hess}f(x)(X,X) &\geq -\kappa\cosh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\rho_N)\|X^{\perp}\|^2 - \kappa\cosh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\rho_N)\|X^{\top}\|^2 \\
&+ \kappa\cdot c\cdot\cosh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\rho_N)\|X\|^2 \\
&\geq -\kappa\cdot\cosh(\rho_N)(1-c)\|X\|^2 \qquad (15)\\
&\geq -\kappa\cdot(1-c)\cdot\|X\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\sigma : [0, \rho_M(x)] \to M$ be a minimal geodesic joining x_0 to x. For all $t > r_0$ we have that $(f\circ\sigma)''(t) = \mathrm{Hess}f(\sigma(t))(\sigma', \sigma') \geq 2(1-c)$ if $\kappa = 0$ and $(f\circ\sigma)''(t) \geq -\kappa(1-c)$ if $\kappa < 0$. For $t \leq r_0$ we have that $(f\circ\sigma)''(t) \geq b = \inf\{\mathrm{Hess}f(x)(\nu,\nu), x \in B_M(r_0), |\nu| = 1\}$. Hence $(\kappa = 0)$

$$\begin{aligned}
(f\circ\sigma)'(s) &= (f\circ\sigma)'(0) + \textstyle\int_0^s (f\circ\sigma)''(\tau)d\tau \\
&\geq (f\circ\sigma)'(0) + \textstyle\int_0^{r_0} b\,d\tau + \int_{r_0}^s 2(1-c)d\tau \qquad (16)\\
&\geq (f\circ\sigma)'(0) + b\,r_0 + 2(1-c)(s-r_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, $\rho_N(\varphi(x_0)) = \mathrm{dist}_N(y_0, y_0) = 0$ then $(f\circ\sigma)'(0) = 0$, and $f(x_0) = 0$, therefore

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(x) & = & \int_0^{\rho_M(x)} (f\circ\sigma)'(s)ds \\ & \geq & \int_0^{\rho_M(x)} \{b\, r_0 + 2(1-c)(s-r_0)\}\, ds \\ & \geq & b\, r_0\, \rho_M(x) + 2(1-c)(\dfrac{\rho_M^2(x)}{2} - r_0\, \rho_M(x)) \\ & \geq & (1-c)\, \rho_M^2(x) + (b - 2(1-c))\, r_0\, \rho_M(x) \end{array} \tag{17}$$

Thus

eqP1
$$\rho_N^2(\varphi(x)) \geq (1-c)\, \rho_M^2(x) + (b-2(1-c)) r_0\, \rho_M(x) \tag{18}$$

for all $x \in M$. Similarly, for $\kappa < 0$ we obtain that

eqP2
$$\cosh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\, \rho_N)(\varphi(x)) \geq \sqrt{-\kappa}(1-c)\rho_M^2(x) + (b/\sqrt{-\kappa} - \sqrt{-\kappa}(1-c)) r_0 \rho_M(x) + 1. \tag{19}$$

If N is compact (bounded) the righthand side of(18) and (19) inequalities are bounded above. That implies that M must be compact. In fact, we can find $\mu = \mu(\mathrm{diam}(N), c, \kappa)$ so that $\mathrm{diam}(M) \leq \mu$. Otherwise (if N is complete noncompact) then if $\rho_M(x) \to \infty$, then $\rho_N(\varphi) \to \infty$ and φ is proper.

3.2. **Proof of item c.** Recall that we have by hypothesis that $\varphi : M \hookrightarrow N$ is a complete m-dimensional submanifold with tamed second fundamental form immersed in complete n-dimensional Hadamard manifold N with $K_N \leq \kappa \leq 0$. We can assume that M is noncompact. Moreover, by the item a., proved in the last subsection, φ is a proper immersion. We can suppose that the extrinsic distance function of M defined by $R(x) = \rho_N(\varphi(x))$ is a Morse function on M. Let $B_N(r_0)$ the geodesic ball of N centered at y_0 with radius r_0 and $S_{r_0} = \partial B_N(r_0)$. Since φ is proper and $a(M) < 1$ we can take r_0 so that

$$\frac{S_\kappa}{C_\kappa}(\rho_M(x))\|\alpha(x)\| \leq c < 1, \quad for\ \ all\ \ x \in M\backslash\varphi^{-1}(B_N(r_0)) \tag{20}$$

and $\Gamma_{r_0} = \varphi(M)\cap S_{r_0} \neq \emptyset$ is a submanifold of $\dim \Gamma_{r_0} = m-1$. For each $y \in \Gamma_{r_0}$, let us denote by $T_y\Gamma_{r_0} \subset T_y\varphi(M)$ the tangent spaces of Γ_{r_0} and $\varphi(M)$ at y, respectively. Since the dimension $\dim T_y\Gamma_{r_0} = m-1$ and $\dim T_y\varphi(M) = m$, there exist only one unit vector $\nu(y) \in T_y\varphi(M)$ such that $T_y\varphi(M) = T_y\Gamma_{r_0} \oplus [[\nu(y)]]$, with $\langle \nu(y), \mathrm{grad}\rho_N(y)\rangle > 0$. This defines a smooth vector field ν on a neighborhood V of $\varphi^{-1}(\Gamma_{r_0})$. Here $[[\nu(y)]]$ is the vector space generated by $\nu(y)$. Consider the function on $\varphi(V)$ defined by

psi
$$\psi(y) = \langle \nu, \mathrm{grad}\rho_N\rangle(y) = \langle \nu, \mathrm{grad}R\rangle(y) = \nu(y)(R),\ y = \varphi(x). \tag{21}$$

Then $\psi(y) = 0$ if and only if every $x = \varphi^{-1}(y) \in V$ is a critical point of the extrinsic distance function R. Now for each $y \in \Gamma_{r_0}$ fixed, let us consider the solution $\xi(t, y)$ of the following Cauchy problem on $\varphi(M)$:

cauchy
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \xi_t(t,y) & = & \dfrac{1}{\psi}\, \nu(\xi(t,y)) \\ \xi(0,y) & = & y \end{array} \right. \tag{22}$$

We will prove that along of the integral curve $t \mapsto \xi(t,y)$ there are no critical points for $R = \rho_N \circ \varphi$. For this, consider the function $(\psi \circ \xi)(t,y)$ and observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_t &= \xi_t \langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \nu\rangle \\
&= \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\xi_t} \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \nu\rangle + \langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \bar{\nabla}_{\xi_t}\nu\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{\psi}\langle \bar{\nabla}_{\nu} \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \nu\rangle + \frac{1}{\psi}\langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \nabla_\nu \nu + \alpha(\nu,\nu)\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{\psi}\mathrm{Hess}\rho_N(\nu,\nu) + \frac{1}{\psi}\left[\langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \nabla_\nu\nu\rangle + \langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \alpha(\nu,\nu)\rangle\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\psi}\left[\mathrm{Hess}\rho_N(\nu,\nu) + \langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \nabla_\nu\nu\rangle + \langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \alpha(\nu,\nu)\rangle\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Thus

`eqpsi`
$$\psi_t\psi = \mathrm{Hess}\rho_N(\nu,\nu) + \langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \nabla_\nu\nu\rangle + \langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \alpha(\nu,\nu)\rangle \tag{24}$$

Since $\langle \nu,\nu\rangle = 1$, we have at once that $\langle \nabla_\nu\nu, \nu\rangle = 0$. As $\nabla_\nu\nu \in T_xM$, we have that

$$\langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \nabla_\nu\nu\rangle = \langle \mathrm{grad}R, \nabla_\nu\nu\rangle.$$

By equation (21), we can write $\mathrm{grad}R(x) = \psi(\varphi(x))\cdot\nu(\varphi(x))$, since $\mathrm{grad}R(x) \perp T_{\varphi(x)}\Gamma_{\rho_N(y)}$, $(\Gamma_{\rho_N(y)} = \varphi(M) \cap \partial B_N(\rho_N(y)))$. Then

$$\langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \nabla_\nu\nu\rangle = \langle \mathrm{grad}R, \nabla_\nu\nu\rangle = \psi\langle \nu, \nabla_\nu\nu\rangle = 0.$$

Writing

`eqnu`
$$\nu(y) = \cos\beta(y)\ \mathrm{grad}\rho_N + \sin\beta(y)\ \omega \tag{25}$$

and

`eqro`
$$\mathrm{grad}\rho_N(y) = \cos\beta\ \nu(y) + \sin\beta\ \nu^* \tag{26}$$

where $\langle \omega, \mathrm{grad}\rho_N\rangle = 0$ and $\langle \nu, \nu^*\rangle = 0$, the equation (24) becomes

$$\psi_t\psi = \sin^2\beta\ \mathrm{Hess}\rho_N(\omega,\omega) + \sin\beta\ \langle \nu^*, \alpha(\nu,\nu)\rangle. \tag{27}$$

From (25) we have that $\psi(y) = \cos\beta(y)$

$$\psi_t\psi = \sqrt{1-\psi^2}\sqrt{1-\psi^2}\mathrm{Hess}\rho_N(\omega,\omega) + \sqrt{1-\psi^2}\langle \nu^*, \alpha(\nu,\nu)\rangle. \tag{28}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\psi_t\psi}{\sqrt{1-\psi^2}} = \sqrt{1-\psi^2}\mathrm{Hess}\rho_N(\omega,\omega) + \langle \nu^*, \alpha(\nu,\nu)\rangle. \tag{29}$$

Thus we arrive at the following differential equation

`eqdif1`
$$-(\sqrt{1-\psi^2})_t = \sqrt{1-\psi^2}\ \mathrm{Hess}\rho_N(\omega,\omega) + \langle \nu^*, \alpha(\nu,\nu)\rangle \tag{30}$$

The Hessian Comparison Theorem implies that

$$\mathrm{Hess}\rho_N(\omega,\omega) \geq \frac{C_\kappa}{S_\kappa}(\rho_N(\xi(t,y))). \tag{31}$$

Substituting it in the equation (30) obtain the following inequality

`eqdif2`
$$-(\sqrt{1-\psi^2})_t \geq \sqrt{1-\psi^2}\ \frac{C_\kappa}{S_\kappa}(\rho_N(\xi(t,y)))\ + \langle \nu^*, \alpha(\nu,\nu)\rangle. \tag{32}$$

Denoting by $R(t,y)$ the restriction of $R = \rho_N \circ \varphi$ to $\varphi^{-1}(\xi(t,y))$ we have

$$R(t,y) = R(\varphi^{-1}(\xi(t,y))) = \rho_N(\xi(t,y))$$

On the other hand we have that

$$R_t = \langle \mathrm{grad} R, \frac{1}{\psi}\nu\rangle = \langle \psi\nu, \frac{1}{\psi}\nu\rangle = 1 \tag{33}$$

then

$$R(t,y) = t + r_0. \tag{34}$$

Writing $\frac{C_k}{S_k}(\rho_N(\xi(t,y))) = \frac{C_\kappa}{S_\kappa}(t+r_0)$ in (32) we have

`eqdif3`

$$-(\sqrt{1-\psi^2})_t \geq \sqrt{1-\psi^2}\,\frac{C_\kappa}{S_\kappa}(t+r_0) + \langle \nu^*, \alpha(\nu,\nu)\rangle \tag{35}$$

Multiplying (35) by $S_\kappa(t+r_0)$, obtain

$$-\left[S_\kappa(t+r_0)(\sqrt{1-\psi^2})_t + C_\kappa(t+r_0)\sqrt{1-\psi^2}\right] \quad \geq \quad S_k(t+r_0)\langle \nu^*, \alpha(\nu,\nu)\rangle$$

The last inequality can be written as

`eqdif4`

$$\left[S_\kappa(t+r_0)\sqrt{1-\psi^2}\right]_t \quad \leq \quad -S_\kappa(t+r_0)\langle \nu^*, \alpha(\nu,\nu)\rangle \tag{36}$$

Integrating (36) of 0 to t the resulting inequality is the following

$$S_\kappa(t+r_0)\sin\beta(\xi(t,y)) \leq S_\kappa(r_0)\sin\beta(y) + \int_0^t -S_k(s+r_0)\langle \nu^*, \alpha(\nu,\nu)\rangle ds$$

Thus

`eq37`

$$\sin\theta(\xi(t,y)) \leq \frac{S_\kappa(r_0)}{S_k(t+r_0)}\sin\beta(y) + \frac{1}{S_\kappa(t+r_0)}\int_0^t S_\kappa(s+r_0)(-\langle \nu^*, \alpha(\nu,\nu)\rangle)ds \tag{37}$$

Since $a(M) < 1$, then

$$-\langle \nu^*, \alpha(\nu,\nu)\rangle(\xi(s,y)) \leq \|\alpha(\xi(s,y))\| \leq c\frac{C_\kappa}{S_\kappa}(\rho_M(\xi(s,y))) \leq c\frac{C_\kappa}{S_\kappa}(\rho_N(\xi(s,y))) = c\frac{C_\kappa}{S_\kappa}(s+r_0)$$

for every $s \geq 0$. Substituting in (37), we have

`eq39`

$$\begin{aligned} \sin\beta(\xi(t,y)) \quad &\leq \quad \frac{S_\kappa(r_0)}{S_\kappa(t+r_0)}\sin\beta(y) + \frac{c}{S_\kappa(t+r_0)}\int_0^t C_\kappa(s+r_0)ds \\ &= \quad \frac{S_\kappa(r_0)}{S_\kappa(t+r_0)}\sin\beta(y) + \frac{c}{S_\kappa(t+r_0)}(S_\kappa(t+r_0) - S_\kappa(r_0)) \qquad (38) \\ &= \quad \frac{S_\kappa(r_0)}{S_\kappa(t+r_0)}(\sin\beta(y) - c) + c < 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Therefore, along the integral curve $t \mapsto \xi(t,y)$, there are no critical point for the function $R(x) = \rho_N(\varphi(x))$ outside the geodesic ball $B_N(r_0)$. Since R is a Morse function the critical points are isolated there are finitely many of then. In particular, the submanifold has finitely many ends. This concludes the proof of the Theorem (1.2).

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

The first ingredient for the proof of Theorem 1.3 is the well known Barta's Theorem [2] stated here for the sake of completeness.

Theorem 4.1 (Barta)**.** *Let Ω be a bounded open of a Riemannian manifold with piecewise smooth boundary. Let $f \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ with $f|\Omega > 0$ and $f|\partial\Omega = 0$. The first Dirichlet eigenvalue $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ has the following bounds:*

$$\sup_\Omega(-\frac{\Delta f}{f}) \geq \lambda_1(\Omega) \geq \inf_\Omega(\frac{-\Delta f}{f}) \tag{39}$$

With equality in (4) *if and only in* f *is the first eigenfunction of* Ω.

Let $\varphi : M \hookrightarrow N$ be an isometric immersion with tamed second fundamental form of a complete m-manifold M into a Hadamard n-manifold N with sectional curvature $\mu \leq K_N \leq 0$. Let $x_0 \in M$, $y_0 = \varphi(x_0) \in N$ and let $\rho_N(y) = \mathrm{dist}_N(y_0, y)$ be the distance function on N and $\rho_N \circ \varphi$ the extrinsic distance on M. By the proof of Theorem (1.2) there is an $r_0 > 0$ such that there is no critical points $x \in M \setminus \varphi^{-1}(B_N(r_0))$ for $\rho_N \circ \varphi$, where $B_N(r_0)$ is the geodesic ball in N centered at y_0 with radius r_0. Let $R > r_0$ and let $\Omega \subset \varphi^{-1}(B_N(R))$ be a connected component. Since φ is proper we have that Ω is bounded with boundary $\partial\Omega$ that we may suppose to be piecewise smooth. Let $v : B_{\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)}(R) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive first eigenfunction of the geodesic ball of radius R in the l-dimensional simply connected space form $\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)$ of constant sectional curvature μ, where l is to be determined. The function v is radial, i.e. $v(x) = v(|x|)$, and satisfies the following differential equation,

`eqLambda-1`

$$v''(t) + (l-1)\,\frac{C_\mu}{S_\mu}(t)\, v'(t) + \lambda_1(B_{\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)}(R))v(t) = 0, \ \forall\, t \in [0, R]. \tag{40}$$

With initial data $v(0) = 1$, $v'(0) = 0$. Moreover, $v'(t) < 0$ for all $t \in (0, R]$. Where S_μ and C_μ are defined in (1) and $\lambda_1(B_{\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)}(R))$ is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the geodesic ball $B_{\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)}(R) \subset \mathbb{N}^l(\mu)$ with radius R. Define $\tilde{v} : B_N(R) \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\tilde{v}(y) = v \circ \rho_N(y)$ and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) = \tilde{v} \circ \varphi(x)$. By Barta's Theorem we have $\lambda_1(\Omega) \leq \sup_\Omega(-\triangle f/f)$. The Laplacian $\triangle f$ at a point $x \in M$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle_M f(x) &= [\sum_{i=1}^m \mathrm{Hess}\, \tilde{v}(e_i, e_i) + \langle \mathrm{grad}\tilde{v}, \vec{H} \rangle](\varphi(x)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left[v''(\rho_N) \langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, e_i \rangle^2 + v'(\rho_N)\, \mathrm{Hess}\, \rho_N(e_i, e_i) \right] + v'(\rho) \langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \vec{H} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Where $\mathrm{Hess}\, \tilde{v}$ is the Hessian of $\tilde{v}$ in the metric of N and $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is an orthonormal basis for T_xM where we made the identification $\varphi_* e_i = e_i$. We are going to give an upper bound for $(-\triangle f/f)$ on $\varphi^{-1}(B_N(R))$. Let $x \in \varphi^{-1}(B_N(R))$ and choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, ..., e_m\}$ for T_xM such that $\{e_2, \ldots, e_m\}$ are tangent to the distance sphere $\partial B_N(r(x))$ of radius $r(x) = \rho_N(\varphi(x))$ and $e_1 = \langle e_1, \mathrm{grad}_N \bar{\rho} \rangle \mathrm{grad}_N \bar{\rho} + \langle e_1, \partial/\partial\theta \rangle \partial/\partial\theta$. Where $|\partial/\partial\theta| = 1$, $\partial/\partial\theta \perp \mathrm{grad}_N \bar{\rho}$. To simplify the notation set $t = \rho_N(\varphi(x))$, $\triangle_M = \triangle$. Then

`eq40`

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle f(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left[v''(t) \langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, e_i \rangle^2 + v'(t)\, \mathrm{Hess}\, \rho_N(e_i, e_i) \right] + v'(t) \langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \vec{H} \rangle \\ &= v''(t) \langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, e_1 \rangle^2 + v'(t) \langle e_1, \partial/\partial\theta \rangle^2\, \mathrm{Hess}\, \rho_N(\partial/\partial\theta, \partial/\partial\theta) \qquad (41) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=2}^m v'(t)\, \mathrm{Hess}\, \rho_N(e_i, e_i) + v'(t) \langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \vec{H} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Thus from (41)

`eq41`

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\triangle f}{f}(x) &= -\frac{v''}{v}(t) \langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, e_1 \rangle^2 - \frac{v'}{v}(t) \langle e_1, \partial/\partial\theta \rangle^2\, \mathrm{Hess}\, \rho_N(\partial/\partial\theta, \partial/\partial\theta) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=2}^m \frac{v'}{v}(t)\, \mathrm{Hess}\, \rho_N(e_i, e_i) - \frac{v'}{v}(t) \langle \mathrm{grad}\rho_N, \vec{H} \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

The equation (40) is says that

$$-\frac{v''}{v}(t) = (l-1)\frac{C_\mu}{S_\mu}\frac{v'}{v}(t) + \lambda_1(B_{\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)}(R))$$

By the Hessian Comparison Theorem and the fact $v'/v \leq 0$ we have from equation (42) the following inequality eq42

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\triangle f}{f}(x) \;&\leq\; \lambda_1(B_{\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)}(R))][1-\langle e_1,\partial/\partial\theta\rangle^2] \\ &\quad -\frac{C_\mu}{S_\mu}(t)\frac{v'}{v}(t)\left[m-l+l\,\langle e_1,\partial/\partial\theta\rangle^2+\frac{S_\mu}{C_\mu}\|\vec{H}\|\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

On the other hand the mean curvature vector $\vec{H}$ at $\varphi(x)$ has norm

$$\|\vec{H}\|(\varphi(x)) \leq \|\alpha\|(\varphi(x)) \leq c\cdot(C_\kappa/S_\kappa)(\rho_M(x)) \leq c\cdot(C_\kappa/S_\kappa)(\rho_N(\varphi(x))).$$

We have that for any given $a(M) < c < 1$ there exist $r_0 = r_0(c) > 0$ such that there is no critical points $x \in M \setminus \varphi^{-1}(B_N(r_0))$ for $\rho_N \circ \varphi$. A critical point x is such that $\langle e_1, \partial/\partial\theta\rangle(\varphi(x)) = 1$, see equation (25), there $\langle e_1, \partial/\partial\theta\rangle(\varphi(x)) = \sin\beta(\varphi(x))$. The inequality (38) is showing that for any $x \in M \setminus \varphi^{-1}(B_N(r_0))$ we have that, ($\kappa = 0$ in our case),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_1,\partial/\partial\theta\rangle(\varphi(x)) \;&\leq\; \frac{r_0}{\rho_N(\varphi(x))+r_0}\left(\sup_{z\in\varphi^{-1}(\partial B_N(r_0))}\sin\beta(\varphi(z)))-c\right)+c \\ &\leq\; \frac{r_0}{r_0+r_0}(1-c)+c \qquad (44) \\ &=\; \frac{1+c}{2} \end{aligned}$$

We have then from (42) the following inequality

$$-\frac{\triangle f}{f}(x) \leq \frac{c^2}{4}\cdot\lambda_1(B_{\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)}(R))] - \frac{C_\mu}{S_\mu}(t)\frac{v'}{v}(t)\left[m-l+\frac{l}{4}(1+c)^2+c\right]$$

Choose the least $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $m-l+l(1+c)^2/4+c \leq 0$. With this choice of l we have for all $x \in \varphi^{-1}(B_N(R)\setminus B_N(r_0))$ that

$$-\frac{\triangle f}{f}(x) \leq \frac{c^2}{4}\cdot\lambda_1(B_{\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)}(R)). \tag{45}$$

Now let $x \in \varphi^{-1}(B_N(r_0))$. Since $1-\langle e_1,\partial/\partial\theta\rangle^2 \leq 1$ and $-l+l\,\langle e_1,\partial/\partial\theta\rangle^2 \leq 0$ we obtain from (43) the following inequality ($t = \rho_N(\varphi(x))$)

eq46

$$-\frac{\triangle f}{f}(x) \leq \lambda_1(B_{\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)}(R))] - \frac{C_\mu}{S_\mu}(t)\frac{v'}{v}(t)\left[m+\frac{S_\mu}{C_\mu}\|\vec{H}\|\right]. \tag{46}$$

We need the following technical lemma.

lemma2

Lemma 4.2. *Let v be the function satisfying (40). Then $-v'(t)/t \leq \lambda_1(B_{\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)}(R))$ for all $t \in [0,R]$.*

Proof: Consider the function $h : [0,R] \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $h(t) = \lambda\cdot t + v'(t)$, $\lambda = \lambda_1(B_{\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)}(R))$. We know that $v(0) = 1$, $v'(0) = 0$ and $v'(t) \leq 0$ besides v satisfies equation (40). Observe that

$$0 = v''(t) + (l-1)v' + \lambda v \leq v'' + \lambda.$$

Thus $v'' \geq -\lambda$ and $h'(t) = \lambda + v'' \geq 0$. Since $h(0) = 0$ we have that $h(t) = \lambda t + v'(t) \geq 0$. This proves the lemma.

Since that v is a non-increasing positive function we have that $v(t) \geq v(r_0)$. Applying the Lemma (4.2) we obtain

eq47

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\triangle f}{f}(x) \;&\leq\; \lambda_1(B_{\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)}(R)) + \frac{t\cdot C_\mu(t)}{S_\mu(t)}\left(-\frac{v'(t)}{t}\right)\cdot\frac{1}{v(r_0)}\,[m+c] \qquad (47) \\ &\leq\; \lambda_1(B_{\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)}(R))\left[1+r_0\frac{C_\mu}{S_\mu}(r_0)\cdot\frac{1}{v(r_0)}\,[m+c]\right] \qquad (48) \end{aligned}$$

Thus for all $x \in \varphi^{-1}(B_N(R))$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} -(\triangle f/f)(x) &\leq \max\left\{\frac{c^2}{4}, \left[1 + r_0\frac{C_\mu}{S_\mu}(r_0)\cdot\frac{1}{v(r_0)}\,[m+c]\right]\right\}\cdot\lambda_1(B_{\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)}(R)) \\ &= \left[1 + r_0\frac{C_\mu}{S_\mu}(r_0)\cdot\frac{1}{v(r_0)}\,[m+c]\right]\cdot\lambda_1(B_{\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)}(R)) \end{aligned}$$

Then by Barta's Theorem

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) \leq \left[1 + r_0\frac{C_\mu}{S_\mu}(r_0)\cdot\frac{1}{v(r_0)}\,[m+c]\right]\cdot\lambda_1(B_{\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)}(R))$$

Observe that $C = \left[1 + r_0\frac{C_\mu}{S_\mu}(r_0)\cdot\frac{1}{v(r_0)}\,[m+c]\right]$ does not depend on R. So letting $R \to \infty$ we have that $\lambda^*(M) \leq C\lambda^*(\mathbb{N}^l(\mu))$.

Corollary 4.3 (From the proof)**.** *Given c, $a(M) < c < 1$ there exists $r_0 = r_0(c) > 0$, $l = l(m,c) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $C = C(m,\mu,c) > 0$ such that for any $R > r_0$ and $\Omega \subset \varphi^{-1}(B_N(R))$ a connected component, then*

$$\lambda^*(\Omega) \leq C\cdot\lambda_1(B_{\mathbb{N}^l(\mu)}(R)).$$

References

[1] M. Anderson, *The compactification of a minimal subamnifold by the Gauss Map.* Preprint IEHS (1985).

[2] J. Barta, *Sur la vibration fundamental d'une membrane.* C. R. Acad. Sci. **204**, (1937), 472–473.

[3] G. P. Bessa, and J. Fabio Montenegro, *An Extension of Barta's Theorem and Geometric Applications.* Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **31** (2007), no. 4, 345–362.

[4] G. Pacelli Bessa, L. P. Jorge, and J. Fabio Montenegro, *Complete Submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with finite topology.* Comm. Anal. Geom. **15**, n.4 (2007), 725–732.

[5] G. P. Bessa, and M. Silvana Costa, *Eigenvalue Estimates for submanifolds with locally bounded mean curvature in $N \times \mathbb{R}$.* Preprint

[6] S. S. Chern and R. Osserman *Complete minimal surfaces in euclidean n-space.* J. d'Analyse Math. **(**19), (1967), 15–34.

[7] L. P. Jorge, and D. Koutroufiotis, *An estimative for the curvature of bounded submanifolds*, Amer. J. of Math. **103**, (1981), 711–725.

[8] L. P. Jorge, and W. Meeks III, *The topology of complete minimal surfaces of finite total Gaussian curvature.* Topology, **22**, (1983), 203–221.

[9] F. Martín, S. Morales, *A complete bounded minimal cylinder in $\mathbb{R}^3$.* Michigan Math. J. **47** (2000), no. 3, 499–514.

[10] N. Nadirashvili, *Hadamard's and Calabi-Yau's conjectures on negatively curved and minimal surfaces.* Invent. Math., **126**, (1996), 457–465.

[11] R. Osserman: *Global properties of minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{E}^3$ and $\mathbb{E}^n$.* Ann. of Math. **80**, 340–364, (1964).

[12] B. White: *Complete surfaces of finite total curvature* J. Differential Geom., **26**, (1987), 315–326.

[13] R. Schoen and S. Yau, *Lectures on Differential Geometry.* Conference Proceeedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology. vol. 1, 1994.